

Argument for

Vote “Yes” to Protect Energy Choice and Stop Gas Bans

You deserve the choice to use clean and efficient natural gas for *heating* and *cooking*. The state and big energy companies are striking deals to ban natural gas service from all homes and businesses. I-2066 *protects your* ability to use natural gas – giving *you* control over your energy choices.

Gas Bans Hurt Everyone

Gas bans will impact everybody, whether you own or rent your home. They drive up energy bills, which is devastating for people with low and fixed incomes. Current law requires homeowners to pay *all* conversion costs to switch from gas to electric appliances—an average of \$40,000/home. Nobody should ever be *forced* to switch to all-electric appliances. Voting “Yes” on I-2066 stops forced conversions and lets people choose for themselves whether they want gas or electric appliances at home.

Small Businesses Need Natural Gas

Thousands of businesses rely on natural gas. Countless restaurants, breweries, cultural cuisines, manufacturers, and others require gas to make the world-class food and products Washington is known for. I-2066 keeps these businesses open, protects jobs, and brings down costs for consumers.

Clean Natural Gas Is Safe and Reliable

Protect access to heating and cooking when the power goes out. Corporate energy companies have notified customers they will cut electricity when (not if) the electric grid is overloaded, even in the cold, dark winter. Washington needs clean and reliable natural gas to stay warm and cook. Vote “Yes!”

Rebuttal of argument against

I-2066 is very simple. It protects your choice to have natural gas service. The opposition wants to confuse you. They don’t mention natural gas because they know it’s a popular consumer choice. They want to ban this clean energy source and have you pay the costs. What will you do when the power goes out? Gas bans are already happening, so vote “Yes” on I-2066 to protect the option of gas for heating and cooking.

Written by

Tiffany Turner, Boutique Hotel and Restaurant Owner; **Tod Sakai**, Homebuilder and Remodeler; **RJ Whitlow**, Brewery Owner; **Alexa Lee**, Homeowner and Mom; **Dean Stoneburner**, Operating Engineer, IUOE 302 Union Member; **Jaz Matharu**, M.D. Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Physician

Contact: (360) 352-7800; contact@protectenergychoice.com; ProtectEnergyChoice.com

Argument against

Vote No To Prevent Higher Energy Bills

2066 would mean more expensive energy bills. It would require utility companies to continue investing in outdated technology and aging infrastructure, and the costs would be passed onto families and businesses. *Voting “No” will make energy more affordable, protecting all Washingtonians, including seniors and families living on low incomes.*

Vote No to Protect Energy Efficiency

2066 would roll back sensible modern standards that make homes and businesses more energy efficient. Efficient buildings lower utility bills and help the power stay on during storms, extreme weather, and increasing heat waves. *Voting “No” will lower costs for families, keep people safe, keep homes comfortable, and ensure a reliable power supply.*

Vote No To Defend Clean Energy and Clean Air

2066 would undermine our path to clean energy and weaken our Clean Air Act, threatening health protections against harmful air pollution. It would prohibit local communities and utility companies from making responsible plans to reduce the use of dirty fossil fuels. The time to start planning is now: *Voting “No” will keep us on the path to cleaner, more affordable energy.*

Vote No To Put Communities First and Stop Powerful Interest Groups

2066 is part of a nationwide effort by fossil fuel corporations and well funded interest groups who want to make as much money as possible while Washington families pay higher energy bills. *Voting “No” will prevent powerful interests from increasing their profits at our expense. 2066 is bad for Washington.*

Rebuttal of argument for

State law already guarantees people can have natural gas if they want it. The powerful interest groups paying for 2066 will say anything to make money off of us. What this confusing initiative would actually do is stop towns, cities and counties from making decisions that work best for their communities. It would raise costs, threaten energy efficiency, and harm families. That’s why nurses, small businesses, and builders say vote “No” to protect energy affordability.

Written by

Jenny Rae, Chuckanut Builders, Bellingham; **Marcy Bowers**, ExecDir, Statewide Poverty Action Network; **Devin Leingang**, Regional Manager, Sheet Metal Workers Local 66; **Mark Vossler**, MD., Cardiologist, Washington Physicians for Social Responsibility; **Paul Tabayoyn**, ExecDir, Asian Pacific Islander Coalition of Yakima; **Patience Malaba**, ExecDir, Housing Development Consortium of Seattle-King County

Contact: (206) 981-3896; info@no2066.org; www.no2066.org